

Comment Set C.195: Beverly and Walt Gale

Sept 30, 2006

Mr John Boccio

Reference:

Antelope-Pardee 500-KV  
Transmission Project.

We are the Gale's Walt & Beverly we live  
at 39718 86th St West  
Leona Valley Ca. 93551

We have lived in Leona Valley for 18 yrs.  
Recently we were notified by a friend  
Marcy Stratton that S.C. & had proposed a new  
high tension line across Leona Valley. If it  
had not been for Marcy's efforts there would  
have been many residents that would not  
have known what Southern California Edison  
wanted to do or about the meeting they had  
planned. Then we received our Sept. issue  
of the Leona Valley News Letter and find out  
Edison has proposed other High Tension Line  
its called Alternative 4 or the Link Route.  
The Link Route would come right across our  
back fence line. Yet again we did not receive  
any notification from Edison.  
Now we have Alternative 5 and 4 that  
Edison didn't notified many in the community  
about.

2

Does Southern California Edison really believe that the residents of Leona Valley have no interest in what goes on in our Wonderful Valley.

We have many concerns.

1. What is going to happen to our Beautiful Views
2. Can they tell us that our Property Values won't drop.
3. We would like information on health issues. We have 6 Grandchildren the youngest is 2 months old and they all spend a lot of time here.
4. What about Fires we had a Big Fire a few years ago they had water dropping helicopters. There is no way they could do this if they had to worry about high power lines.
5. We would also like to know about the noise factor. I understand the Towers make a lot of noise. We are horse owners with Grandchildren that ride a lot. I worry that the noise these high power lines make

C.195-1

C.195-2

3.

5. will scare the horses and the riders could fall off and get hurt. The Leona Valley has a large number of horse family's and I am sure they must be concerned also. When we moved to Leona Valley the one thing we found was how quiet it was. Nothing like living in the heart of the San Fernando Valley.

C.195-2  
Cont.

6. What about the threat to existing wildlife on our private lands.

7. Why would Edison want to use Alternate route 5. it is longest and impacts the most private property. and I would think it would cost the most to complete.

C.195-3

Sincerely  
Beverly Gale  
Walt Gale

## Response to Comment Set C.195: Beverly and Walt Gale

- C.195-1 Please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values.
- C.195-2 We recognize that Alternative 5 would constrain the ability to aggressively fight a wildland fire in the vicinity of the route, and would create additional fire risks to inhabited areas such as Leona Valley and Agua Dulce (see discussion in Section D.5). Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC. Your comment is consistent with the findings of the Draft EIR/EIS. The proposed Project and each of the alternative routes would result in impacts to a number of issue areas including public health and safety (Section C.6), noise (Section C.10), public services (Section C.11), and traffic (Section C.13), which are discussed in the aforementioned sections of the Draft EIR/EIS.
- C.195-3 We agree that due to the increased length of Alternative 5, it would cost substantially more than the proposed Project.